CYP2C19 variant mitigates Alzheimer disease pathophysiology in vivo and postmortem
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Abstract

Objective
To verify whether CYP polymorphisms are associated with amyloid-β (Aβ) pathology across the spectrum of clinical Alzheimer disease using in vivo and postmortem data from 2 independent cohorts.

Methods
A candidate-gene approach tested the association between 5 genes (28 single nucleotide polymorphisms) and Aβ load measured in vivo by the global [18F]florbetapir PET standardized uptake value ratio (SUVR) in 338 Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative participants. Significant results were then tested using plasma Aβ and CSF Aβ and Aβ/phosphorylated tau (Aβ/p-tau) ratio in the same cohort. The significant association was also generalized to postmortem Aβ load measurement in the Rush Religious Orders Study/Memory and Aging Project cohorts. In addition, global cognition was used as a phenotype in the analysis in both cohorts.

Results
Analysis of Aβ PET identified a variant in the CYP2C19 gene (rs4388808; p = 0.0006), in which carriers of the minor allele (MA) had a lower global SUVR. A voxel-wise analysis revealed that the variant is associated with a lower Aβ load in the frontal, inferior temporal, and posterior cingulate cortices. MA carriers also had higher CSF Aβ (p = 0.003) and Aβ/p-tau ratio (p = 0.02) but had no association with Aβ plasma levels. In postmortem brains, MA carriers had a lower Aβ load (p = 0.03). Global cognition was higher in MA carriers, which was found to be mediated by Aβ.

Conclusions
Together, these findings point to an association between CYP2C19 polymorphism and Aβ pathology, suggesting a protective effect of the MA of rs4388808. Despite the several possibilities in which CYP2C19 affects brain Aβ, the biological mechanism by which this genetic variation may act as a protective factor merits further investigation.
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In sporadic Alzheimer disease (AD), accumulation of amyloid-β (Aβ) seems to be associated with reduced Aβ clearance, but little is known about the biochemical pathways underlying cerebral Aβ metabolism.

Cytochrome P450 (CYP) is a family of enzymes known to metabolize several endogenous and exogenous substrates. Besides metabolizing drugs, brain CYPs are also involved in the modulation of blood flow, metabolism of fatty acids, cholesterol, and neurotransmitters, and mobilization of intracellular calcium, all pathways that have been somehow linked to AD and/or amyloid metabolism. In addition, studies have shown that CYP proteins and their genetic variants are associated with the brain immune response and neurodegenerative diseases.

Although previous evidence shows CYP expression in areas affected by AD such as the amygdala, frontal and temporal cortices, and the hippocampus, there are only a few reports documenting a link between CYPs and AD pathophysiology. In one study, the overexpression of human amyloid precursor protein (APP) on the Tg2576 mouse model was associated with elevated CYP hepatic function, while their renal counterparts were depressed. In addition, another study presented evidence that Aβ stimulates NADPH-cytochrome P450 reductase (POR), a CYP inducer, in APP transgenic mice and human AD brains, which may affect the reox status.

In line with the evidence that Aβ can stimulate metabolic cascades in a similar fashion as foreign compounds, and knowing that brain CYP could potentially affect amyloid metabolism also through other mechanisms, we tested the hypothesis that variants in CYP genes could be associated with Aβ and, consequently, have an impact in cognitive performance. We examined the association of CYP variants with biomarkers of Aβ load in vivo and postmortem, as further specified.

Methods

Study participants

Discovery analysis data were obtained from the AD Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (adni.loni.usc.edu). For this study, cognitively normal (CN) individuals had a Mini-Mental State examination (MMSE) score of 24 or higher and a clinical dementia rating (CDR) of 0. The operational criteria adopted for mild cognitive impairment (MCI) were participants who had an MMSE score equal to or greater than 24, a CDR of 0.5, subjective memory concern, objective memory loss measured by education-adjusted scores on delayed recall of 1 paragraph from Wechsler Memory Scale Logical Memory II (≥16 years: ≤8; 8–15 years: ≤4; and 0–7 years: ≤2), and essentially normal activities of daily living. Participants with AD were individuals with an MMSE score lower or equal to 26, CDR higher than 0.5, who met the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke–Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association criteria for probable AD. All individuals had absence of any other neuropsychiatric disorders. The ADNI inclusion/exclusion criteria are described in detail at adni-info.org.

Our report also used data from the Religious Orders Study (ROS) and Rush Memory and Aging Project (MAP), 2 longitudinal clinical pathologic cohort studies of aging and dementia. All participants enrolled without known dementia and agreed to annual clinical and neuropsychological assessments and brain donations after death. The clinical diagnosis of dementia and AD followed the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke–Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association recommendations. The MCI diagnosis is given to participants who were rated as impaired based on MMSE and on the Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer’s Disease (CERAD) neuropsychological measures but who were not found to have dementia by the examining neurologist. Our study includes only MCI participants with 1 impaired domain and no other cause of cognitive impairment. Notably, the studies are conducted by the same team of investigators and share a large common core of testing batteries, which allow for combined analyses.

The discovery sample consisted of a subset of ADNI participants who had [18F]florbetapir PET, genetic data, and CSF data available. The findings obtained with the discovery sample were then generalized to the Rush-ROS/MAP participants who died at age 90 years or younger.

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents

This secondary analysis study followed all institutional review board regulations, which are detailed in the supplementary methods.
Phenotypes

From the ADNI, we obtained demographics, neurocognitive scores, CSF, and plasmatic data available after passing rigorous quality control. The preprocessed imaging and genetic data underwent additional processing and quality control before the statistical analysis in our study as described below. From Rush, all the data used in this project to perform statistical analyses were already processed and quality controlled with very rigorous criteria.

In the ADNI cohort, the brain Aβ load was estimated using the $[^{18}F]$florbetapir PET standardized uptake value ratio (SUVR, details are provided in figure 1 and e-methods). Separately, Aβ and hyperphosphorylated tau (p-tau) levels were measured in the CSF and plasma. These data were obtained by the Biomarkers Consortium CSF, and the description of the methodology regarding the sample acquisition, processing, and analysis is available at the ADNI website (adni.loni.usc.edu/data-samples/biospecimen-data/).

In the Rush-ROS/MAP cohort, postmortem data of the Aβ load and paired helical filament (PHF) tau tangle density were obtained from 8 brain regions using immunohistochemistry.13 Cognitive function was evaluated in both the ADNI and Rush-ROS/MAP. For the ADNI, the global cognition scores were developed by making a z-score of the sum of the memory and the executive function composite scores. In the Rush-ROS/MAP, the global cognitive scores were based on 17 cognitive performance tests, and we included only participants who underwent autopsies; thus, the last valid cognitive score proximate to death was used for this analysis (for further details, see e-Methods, http://links.lww.com/NXG/A20).

We used gene expression data to examine cis expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL). For the ADNI, total RNA was obtained from peripheral blood—at the same visit when the imaging data were obtained—and, after quality control, was hybridized to the Affymetrix Human Genome U219 array plate as described.14 The normalized and quality-controlled expression data14 were used. For the Rush-ROS/MAP, RNA was extracted from the gray matter of dorsolateral prefrontal cortex blocks using the miRNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands) and the RNase-free DNase Set (Qiagen).15 These samples were quantified and qualified as described and then sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq with 101 bp paired-end reads. The normalized and quality-controlled expression data were used. In both analyses, the RNA integrity measurement was used as a covariate.

**Figure 1** $[^{18}F]$florbetapir standardized uptake value ratio analytical method

[Image of flowchart showing acquisition methods (purple), image processing (blue), and outcomes (green). ADNI = Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative; GM = gray matter; ROI = region of interest; SUVR = standardized uptake value ratio; WM = white matter.]
Genotyping, imputation, and gene selection
We interrogated single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from the CYP genes of the 5—probably—most important metabolizers: CYP3A4, CYP2D6, CYP2C9, CYP1A2, and CYP2C19.3,16–18 Methods involved in DNA processing are detailed in the supplementary methods section (http://links.lww.com/NXG/A20). Using PLINK,19 the SNPs within these genes were recorded based on the dominant model with respect to the MAs, and the ones in high linkage disequilibrium (LD) (r² > 0.8) were removed from the analysis to avoid unnecessary testing. A total of 28 SNPs were used in the initial step of the association analysis.

For Rush-ROS/MAP cohorts, DNA was extracted, genotyped, imputed, and quality controlled according to the procedures described in e-Methods (http://links.lww.com/NXG/A20).

Statistical analysis
The statistical tests were performed in R statistical software,20 where linear regression models were used to test the association between the genotypes and the phenotypes in both cohorts, separately. All statistical models included the covariates of age, sex, and APOE-ε4 carriage status, except for the models in which global cognition was the outcome measure, where the APOE-ε4 status was replaced by years of education. The initial analysis—using the global [18F]florbetapir SUVR as a phenotype—was performed to interrogate a total of 28 SNPs (table e-1, http://links.lww.com/NXG/A19). To correct for multiple testing, the statistical significance of the SNP discovery analysis was set at p < 0.0017 using a Bonferroni correction for 28 tests and a type I error α = 0.05. The significant SNPs were further tested for associations with other phenotypes in ADNI and Rush-ROS/MAP cohorts. To test for group differences in the diagnostic status, we added diagnosis as a covariate in the regression models and also tested the interaction between the diagnostic status and desired independent variable. Effect size calculations (Cohen d) were also used.

A voxel-based analysis was performed using the RMINC imaging tool,21 where parametric images were obtained contrasting the [18F]florbetapir SUVR between the genotype groups of the SNP found in the discovery analysis. After random field theory correction for multiple comparisons, the t value threshold of significance was ≤−3.1 (p ≤ 0.001).

Mediation analysis was used to examine whether the SNP associations with cognition were mediated through the effect of Aβ. To test this hypothesis, first, the association of CYP SNPs with global cognitive performance was evaluated using linear regression models. Then, if the association was significant, the measure of Aβ was added to this model to check whether the SNP associations with cognition were attenuated because of Aβ.

Results
Demographics and general information of the ADNI and Rush-ROS/MAP cohorts are presented in table 1. After imaging and genetic quality control, a total of 338 ADNI participants were studied, including 186 CN, 105 single- or multiple-domain amnestic MCI, and 47 AD. A total of 738 Rush-ROS/MAP participants were analyzed, including 301 CN, 179 amnestic MCI, and 258 AD. As expected, in both cohorts, CN individuals had higher MMSE scores and fewer were APOE-ε4 carriers as compared to both MCI and AD. There was no difference in sex or years of formal education between diagnostic groups in both cohorts (details are provided in table e-2, http://links.lww.com/NXG/A19).

CYP2C19 polymorphism is associated with the global [18F]florbetapir SUVR in the ADNI cohort
The discovery analysis tested the association of 28 SNPs from CYP genes with the global [18F]florbetapir SUVR. The analysis unveiled an association of an SNP (rs4388808) in the CYP2C19 gene (t = −3.43; p = 0.0006) (figure 2A). The minor allele frequency (MAF) of rs4388808 was 0.19, and the minor allele (MA) carriers displayed less [18F]florbetapir binding as compared to the noncarriers. It is important that the association remained significant when adjusting the model for diagnosis. In addition, no interaction was found between the SNP and diagnostic status. The estimated effect size of rs4388808 in the whole sample was Cohen d = 0.36. Of interest, the effect size was greater for the subset of APOE-ε4 carriers (Cohen d = 0.51) as compared to APOE-ε4 noncarriers (Cohen d = 0.33).

MA carriers of the CYP2C19 polymorphism have less Aβ in AD-related regions in the ADNI cohort
To identify the brain areas responsible for the global difference observed in [18F]florbetapir uptake revealed in the discovery analysis, we performed a voxel-wise analysis. The analysis showed that carriers of rs4388808 had less [18F]florbetapir binding in the frontal, posterior cingulate, and inferior temporal cortices (figure 3), with the voxels in these regions presenting p values equal to or less than 0.001.

Findings with brain imaging are corroborated by CSF data in the ADNI cohort
Because of the well-known relationship between brain and CSF levels of Aβ, we tested the association between rs4388808 and CSF Aβ. Because not all participants in the ADNI sample had CSF data, we performed the analysis in a subset of 260 individuals. As expected, MA carriers presented more CSF Aβ than noncarriers (t = 2.94; p = 0.003) (figure 2B). The CYP2C19 polymorphism was associated with the Aβ/p-tau ratio (t = 2.29; p = 0.02), and higher ratios were found in carriers of rs4388808 (figure 2C). No association was found using p-tau as a single outcome measure (t = −1.24; p = 0.21).

Association between the CYP2C19 polymorphism and Aβ is exclusive to the Aβ brain levels in the ADNI cohort
To examine whether the CYP2C19 polymorphism is also associated with Aβ levels outside the brain, we tested the
association of rs4388808 with plasmatic levels of Aβ in a subsample of 113 participants. We did not find an association between the SNP and free (t = −0.60; p = 0.54) or total (t = 0.49; p = 0.62) plasmatic Aβ42 levels (figure 2D), indicating that the findings reported above are specific to the CNS.

Results from the ADNI cohort were generalized to the Rush-ROS/MAP cohort

We next generalized the findings to postmortem indices of the Aβ load and to neurofibrillary tangles in Rush-ROS/MAP cohorts. From the 8 brain regions where the Aβ load was measured, we selected 6 regions (angular gyrus, anterior cingulate, entorhinal cortex, and mesial and inferior temporal cortices) and calculated an average per subject. These 6 regions were chosen to match with the brain areas where we detected differences between genotype groups in the voxel-wise analysis. When testing the association between the average of the Aβ load and the CYP2C19 polymorphism, we found that rs4388808G (MAF = 0.15) carriers had a lower Aβ load than noncarriers (t = −2.15; p = 0.03) (figure 2E), consistent with findings from the ADNI cohort. The difference between rs4388808G carriers and noncarriers in PHF tau tangle density did not reach statistical significance (t = −1.65; p = 0.09).

MA carriers of the CYP2C19 polymorphism had better cognitive performance than noncarriers in both cohorts

To examine whether the CYP2C19 polymorphism affects the cognitive performance, we used composite measures of global cognition as the outcome in linear regression models. In the ADNI cohort, there was a clear tendency to an association with the CYP2C19 polymorphism (t = 1.92; p = 0.05) (figure 2F), while in Rush-ROS/MAP cohorts, the association was such that rs4388808G carriers had a higher cognition than noncarriers (t = 2.08; p = 0.03) (figure 2G).

The effect of the CYP2C19 polymorphism on cognition is mediated by Aβ

A mediation analysis was used to determine whether the CYP2C19 polymorphism was directly or indirectly associated with cognitive performance. We tested the association between the CYP2C19 polymorphism and cognition, but now adding the measures of [18F]florbetapir or Aβ load in the model. Neither of the previous genotype-phenotype associations remained significant, indicating that the observed effect of the genotype was mediated by Aβ.

Association between rs4388808 and gene expression of CYP2C19 suggests that the SNP is functional

To check whether the polymorphism has an effect in the gene expression, we tested the association between rs4388808 and CYP2C19 RNA levels. In the ADNI cohort, with 304 participants for this analysis, we found a cis eQTL such that MA carriers displayed higher RNA levels in the blood (t = 2.3; p = 0.01) (figure 2H). In Rush-ROS/MAP cohorts, very low levels of CYP2C19 expression were detected in postmortem brains, and the data did not provide consistent results (data not shown) as found in the blood expression data from the ADNI.

Discussion

In the present study, we found an association between the CYP2C19 polymorphism and Aβ burden across the spectrum of AD, in which carriers of rs4388808G presented a less Aβ load and downstream cognitive impairment. This association was initially detected using the [18F]florbetapir SUVR and posteriorly confirmed with CSF Aβ in the ADNI cohort. No association was found between the polymorphism and diagnostic groups, suggesting that the SNP is associated with an amyloid load rather than with the disease status. Effect size

| Table 1 Demographic and key characteristics of the samples |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|
|                | ADNI         | Rush-ROS/MAP |
|                | CN | MCI | AD | CN | MCI | AD |
| No. of participants | 186 | 105 | 47 | 301 | 179 | 258 |
| Males, n (%)     | 91 (48.9) | 64 (61) | 26 (55.3) | 182 (60.5) | 101 (56.4) | 154 (59.7) |
| Age, y, mean (SD)|    |    |    |    |    |    |
|                | 75.70 (6.63) | 74.29 (8.12) | 75.67 (7.37) | 83.7 (5.19) | 85.26 (4.35) | 85.95 (3.81) |
| APDE±e4 (%)     | 52 (28) | 57 (54.3) | 30 (63.8) | 49 (16.4) | 50 (27.9) | 112 (43.4) |
| MMSE, mean (SD) | 29.09 (1.14) | 27.24 (1.95) | 20.34 (3.66) | 28.69 (1.42) | 27.91 (1.83) | 25.49 (5.17) |
| Education, y     | 16.41 (2.75) | 16.67 (2.68) | 16.13 (2.47) | 16.53 (3.74) | 16.34 (3.47) | 16.66 (3.94) |
| MA, n (%)        | 67 (19) | 16.67 (2.68) | 16.13 (2.47) | 16.53 (3.74) | 16.34 (3.47) | 16.66 (3.94) |

Abbreviations: AD = Alzheimer disease; ADNI = AD Neuroimaging Initiative; CN = cognitively normal; MA = minor allele; MAP = Memory and Aging Project; MCI = mild cognitive impairment; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; ROS = Religious Orders Study.

* Statistically different from the other groups of the same sample; \( p = 2.3 \times 10^{-12} \), \( p = 2 \times 10^{-16} \), \( p = 9.2 \times 10^{-8} \), \( p = 1.7 \times 10^{-11} \), and \( p = 2 \times 10^{-16} \).

** MA (rs4388808G) percentage relative to its major sample (ADNI or Rush-ROS/MAP).
analysis suggests that the beneficial effects of the polymorphism are larger in APOE-e4 carriers, as compared to noncarriers or the whole sample, in which the effect is similar to what has been described for a BCHE gene polymorphism. Subsequently, this association was generalized to postmortem data from the Rush-ROS/MAP cohorts. Finally, both cohorts showed a protective association between the MA of CYP2C19 and cognition. It is important that subsequent mediation analysis suggested that the effects of CYP2C19 on cognition were mediated by Aβ.

Overall, these results support the notion that the MA of CYP2C19 (rs4388808) is a protective variant against Aβ and downstream cognitive impairment. Of interest, voxel-wise analysis in in vivo participants revealed that the MA was associated with reduced Aβ burden in AD-related regions in the frontal, inferior temporal, and posterior cingulate cortices. In addition, the CYP2C19 SNP was associated with the CSF Aβ/p-tau ratio but not with plasmatric Aβ. Beyond the fact that the Aβ/p-tau ratio is postulated to better represent neuritic plaques than the single CSF Aβ information, these results
provide further evidence that the polymorphism affects the brain accumulation of neuritic plaques in a tissue- and disease-specific manner. In both cohorts, the referred polymorphism was not associated with PHF tau or single CSF p-tau, agreeing with the framework that Aβ and tau have independent upstream triggers. In line with overall significant results, the CYP2C19 polymorphism also presented a protective effect in global cognition, being supported by previous studies that linked Aβ pathology to cognitive changes. Indeed, the mediation analysis supported that the association between the genetic factor and cognitive abnormalities is likely due to the upstream accumulation of Aβ.

Levels of the CYP2C19 protein are associated with the rs4388808 polymorphism, which is an intronic variant of the CYP2C19 gene. Indeed, we found a similar association showing that MA carriers expressed higher levels of CYP2C19 when compared with noncarriers, suggesting that the SNP affects the expression of its gene. To corroborate this finding, we retrieved the SNPs that were previously excluded because of high LD and verified that none was associated with CYP2C19 expression in the blood (data not shown), reinforcing the idea that rs4388808 is functional. This association could not be detected in post-mortem data probably because of the low CYP expression or because of its limited detection by the method used.

Despite the SNP not being widely studied to provide information about the association with other phenotypes, other polymorphisms in the gene have been correlated with metabolic variability, atherosclerosis, and behavioral traits. CYP2C19 expression has been detected in several brain regions; however, its function in the CNS has not been fully elucidated. Some reports have suggested that, besides its role at metabolizing exogenous substrates, CYP2C19 also participates in other important biological cascades, such as the metabolism of serotonin and sexual hormones and the metabolism of the arachidonic acid (AA), where it functions as an epoxygenase.

The biochemical mechanism underlying the association of CYP2C19 with Aβ remains speculative. A study has shown that Aβ is able to act as a foreign body and trigger POR, activating CYPs to initiate catabolic reactions. In turn, CYP2C19 is involved in the catalysis of estradiol. Because several studies have reported the beneficial effects of estrogens on AD—demonstrating that these steroids are able to act as anti-inflammatory compounds and even inhibit Aβ production—one could think that MA carriers of rs4388808 have a reduced expression of this CYP in the brain or that they have an increased structural incompatibility between CYP2C19 and estradiol. Consequently, MA carriers could present a reduction in the catabolism of estrogen and a reduction in the Aβ load. Alternatively, the Aβ load would be affected by the levels of epoxyicosatrienoic acids (EETs) produced via the metabolism of AA by CYP epoxygenases. In the brain, studies have shown that EETs have beneficial effects at regulating blood flow, cortical angiogenesis, and at promoting anti-inflammatory reactions (for review, see reference 2). By contrast, when AA is metabolized via other cascades, the protective effect of EETs is lost, and there is a possibility of induction of Aβ production and/or accumulation. In addition, it was demonstrated that Aβ is able to reduce epoxygenase activity and consequently decrease EET production, probably leading to more susceptibility to neuronal damage (for review, see reference 36). In this scenario, it would be possible that the MA of rs4388808 increases the expression of CYP2C19, leading to an “Aβ-resistant” epoxygenase metabolism of AA, with a maintained production of EETs and a more protective phenotype against amyloidosis.

It is also plausible to think, however, that the association described here between the CYP2C19 polymorphism and Aβ is indirect, being mediated by the effect of a drug. CYP2C19 is known to metabolize several drugs, including medications to treat depression, which has been mentioned as a risk factor for AD (for review, see reference 38). Citalopram, for example, is an antidepressant metabolized by CYP2C19 that has been shown to decrease Aβ production. Thus, one may think that the polymorphism rs4388808 is then associated with an improved action of citalopram, leading to the decreased brain Aβ burden, rather than associated with Aβ metabolism itself. Because it is difficult to track all the medications the study participants have taken before being enrolled, it is unfeasible at the moment to check whether this association holds true.
The interpretation of the results should take into consideration a few limitations. The use of the 2 cohorts does not allow us to detect and measure Aβ pathology with the same methodology, inserting in the analysis some variability specific to the method used. However, despite being measured with different techniques, both the imaging radiotracer and the antibody used in the brain tissue are expected to bind to the fibrillar form of Aβ.13 Similarly, the cognitive composite scores from the 2 cohorts have some differences that should be considered. There are also some intrinsic characteristics of the samples that have to be taken into account: (1) the inclusion criteria of each study, (2) the average age difference between the studies, and (3) and the disease stage in which Aβ measures were performed—at the end or during the disease process. Sample size restrictions impose some degree of caution when interpreting findings, including, for example, the lack of association between the genotype and plasmatic levels of Aβ, or cognition, in the ADNI cohort. In addition, both cohorts are mostly composed of non-Latino Caucasians, limiting the extrapolation of the present findings to other population groups. Longitudinal analysis together with functional genomics and biochemistry experiments, not performed here, would also be necessary to determine the effect of the SNP in the protein function as well as to support any theoretical framework proposed in the Discussion section.

Results obtained from the 2 independent cohorts provide compelling evidences linking the CYP2C19 polymorphism and Aβ pathology, suggesting that the MA of rs4388808 confers protective effects against Aβ accumulation in the brain and its downstream cognitive consequences. These results could have implication for antiamyloid clinical trial designs, as preclinical rs4388808G would present a protective factor against the amyloid load. Therefore, the biological mechanism by which the genetic variation would alter Aβ build up and clearance merits further investigation.
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