Molecular Diagnosis of Facioscapulohumeral Muscular Dystrophy in Patients Clinically Suspected of FSHD Using Optical Genome Mapping
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Abstract

Background and Objectives
Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy (FSHD) represents the third most common muscular dystrophy in the general population and is characterized by progressive and often asymmetric muscle weakness of the face, upper extremities, arms, lower leg, and hip girdle. In FSHD type 1, contraction of the number of D4Z4 repeats to 1–10 on the chromosome 4–permissive allele (4qA) results in abnormal epigenetic derepression of the DUX4 gene in skeletal muscle. In FSHD type 2, epigenetic derepression of the DUX4 gene on the permissive allele (4qA) with normal-sized D4Z4 repeats (mostly 8–20) is caused by heterozygous pathogenic variants in chromatin modifier genes such as SMCHD1, DNMT3B, or LRIF1. We present validation of the optical genome mapping (OGM) platform for accurate mapping of the D4Z4 repeat size, followed by diagnostic testing of 547 cases with a suspected clinical diagnosis of FSHD and next-generation sequencing (NGS) of the SMCHD1 gene to identify cases with FSHD2.

Methods
OGM with Bionano Genomics Saphyr and EnFocus FSHD analysis software was used to identify FSHD haplotypes and D4Z4 repeat number and compared with the gold standard of Southern blot–based diagnosis. A custom Agilent SureSelect enrichment kit was used to enrich SMCHD1, followed by NGS on an Illumina system with 100-bp paired-end reads. Copy number variants were assessed using NxClinical software.

Results
We performed OGM for the diagnosis of FSHD in 547 patients suspected of FSHD between December 2019 and December 2022, including 301 male (55%) and 246 female patients (45%). Overall, 308 of the referred patients were positive for D4Z4 contraction on a permissive haplotype, resulting in a diagnosis of FSHD1. A total of 252 of 547 patients were referred for concurrent testing for FSHD1 and FSHD2. This resulted in the identification of FSHD2 in 9/252 (3.6%) patients. In our FSHD2 cohort, the 4qA allele size ranged from 8 to 18 repeats. Among FSHD1-positive cases, 2 patients had biallelic contraction and 4 patients had homozygous contraction and showed early onset of clinical features. Nine of the 308 patients (3%) positive for 4qA contraction had mosaic 4q alleles with contraction on at least one 4qA allele. The overall diagnostic yield in our cohort was 58%.

Discussion
A combination of OGM to identify the FSHD haplotype and D4Z4 repeat number and NGS to identify sequence and copy number variants in the SMCHD1 gene is a practical and cost-effective option with increased precision for accurate diagnosis of FSHD types 1 and 2.
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Chromatin condensation. 3,4

Bryogenesis and silenced during development due to transcription factor that is normally expressed during embryogenesis (q35.2 region). 5,6 Similar D4Z4 repeats are present unit (RU) of D4Z4 macrosatellite repeats on chromosome 4. However, 8 repeats are observed: the permissive allele, 4qA, and the nonpermissive allele, 4qB. In the general population, the D4Z4 repeat number ranges from 11 to 100 on chromosome 4. However, 8–10 repeats are observed in 2% of the European population. 5 FSHD1 is caused by contraction of the D4Z4 macrosatellite repeats on chromosome 4 in the q35.2 region. 5,6 Similar D4Z4 repeats are located on chromosome 10q26.3 that exhibit approximately 98% sequence identity, adding complexity to the testing. 7 Two sequence variants of the 4q subtelomere region distal to the last repeat have been observed: the permissive allele, 4qA, and the nonpermissive allele, 4qB. In the general population, the D4Z4 repeat number ranges from 11 to 100 on chromosome 4. However, 8–10 repeats are observed in 2% of the European population. 5 FSHD1 is caused by contraction of the number of repeats to 1–10 on 4qA, resulting in local chromatin relaxation evidenced by, e.g., hypomethylation of D4Z4 repeats, leading to abnormal toxic expression of the DUX4 gene in skeletal muscle. 9–11 The phenotypic severity of FSHD1 roughly correlates with D4Z4 repeat size on 4qA with a lower number of repeats corresponding with earlier age at onset and rapid progression. The repeat region on 4qB and 10q haplotypes by Southern blot analysis. 15–17 The most common method of diagnosing FSHD is Southern blot by double digestion of genomic DNA by EcoRI alone and double digestion by EcoRI/BlnI and/or EcoRI/XapI, followed by linear or pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and hybridization with a p13E-11 probe. Multiple restriction enzymes and probes are required to discriminate between 4qA, 4qB, and 10q haplotypes by Southern blot analysis. 15–17 Southern blot has been the gold standard method for the diagnosis of FSHD1; however, this method of diagnosis has limitations. It is time-consuming, the number of D4Z4 repeats are calculated by band size, and the method may require radioactive material. In addition, most diagnostic laboratories use linear gel electrophoresis for EcoRI fragment separation, which presents technical difficulties in identifying somatic mosaics in D4Z4 units; however, this can be overcome by using a pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) separation method. 17 Moreover, the detection of a deletion or structural rearrangement upstream of the D4Z4 repeats that disrupts the p13E-11 probe-binding site requires multiple rounds of hybridization with different probes. Recently, alternative methods, such as molecular combing, 18,19 single-molecule real-time sequencing technology (SMRT), 20 Nanopore CRISPR-Cas9-targeted resequencing (nCATS), 21 and a qPCR-based approach, 22 have been developed to determine the number of macrosatellite (D4Z4) repeats. Two studies have shown that optical genome mapping (OGM) has been evaluated for clinical utility in detecting D4Z4 repeats at the 4q region to diagnose FSHD. 23,24

In this study, we are presenting the validation of optical genome mapping (OGM) technology in the diagnosis of FSHD and OGM data from 547 cases clinically suspected of FSHD. 317 positive samples were detected with a 58% diagnostic yield, demonstrating the power and efficacy of OGM in detecting the number of D4Z4 repeat units and haplotypes in the diagnosis of FSHD.

Methods

Sample Preparation

Genomic DNA is initially isolated from fresh or frozen peripheral blood using the Bionano DNA isolation kit (Bionano Genomics, San Diego). 25 The labeling and staining portion of the protocol allows the gDNA to be visualized and mapped once inserted into the Bionano Saphyr instrument.
Optical Genome Mapping
In brief, OGM was performed using Bionano Genomics Saphyr with subsequent analysis by Bionano Enfocus FSHD analysis software (Bionano, San Diego, CA) to identify the FSHD haplotype and D4Z4 repeat number in patients suspected of FSHD. Molecules aligning to the D4Z4 repeat regions on chromosome 4 using human genome reference build GRCh38 are distinguished from regions of high homology on chromosome 10 based on the fluorescent pattern of markers proximal to the D4Z4 repeat region. The permissive (4qA) and nonpermissive (4qB) alleles were assigned using the dynamic programming algorithm included in the Enfocus FSHD analysis pipeline. The OGM de novo assembly was used to detect any large structural changes in the SMCHD1 locus on chromosome 18.25

Southern Blot
Southern blot analysis was performed at the Van der Maarel laboratory (Leiden University Medical Center, the Netherlands) using genomic DNA embedded in agarose plugs and PFGE for the separation of the DNA.26

SMCHD1 Gene Sequencing
A custom Agilent SureSelect enrichment kit was used to enrich the SMCHD1 gene, followed by next-generation sequencing (NGS) on an Illumina system with 100-bp paired-end reads. The analyzed regions include the coding exons and 50 bp of flanking intronic regions on both sides of each exon. Copy number variation was assessed using Bionano Genomics’ NxClinical software (Bionano, San Diego, CA). Variants were evaluated by their reported frequency in databases, including the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD), Human Gene Mutation Database (HGMD), ClinVar, and other disease-specific databases when applicable. Variants that have a population frequency greater than expected given the prevalence of the disease in the general population were considered to be benign. All variants including VOUS were evaluated up to ±3, and variants in the exon and intron boundaries were reported. Only pathogenic variants are reported in the region between ±3 and ±50 base pairs.

Standard Protocol Approvals, Registrations, and Patient Consents
Optical genome mapping and/or SMCHD1 gene sequencing presented in this study is in line with the original request for diagnostic testing, and therefore, no additional informed consent is needed.

Data Availability
Data presented in this article cannot be made publicly available because they consider patient information. To protect patient privacy, access to the data can only be made by request from the corresponding author.

Results
Validation of OGM in Identifying D4Z4 Repeat Size and Haplotype
Initial optimization of OGM in identifying D4Z4 repeat contraction was performed on 6 cell lines derived from patients with FSHD that were previously confirmed by Southern blot at Coriell Institute (Camden, NJ). All 6 samples were processed at our laboratory (Revvity Omics, Pittsburgh) and at Bionano Genomics (3 independent runs). D4Z4 repeat number from our laboratory and 3 independent runs performed at Bionano Genomics showed concordance with the previous Southern blot results (eTable 1, links.lww.com/NXG/A652).

Next, we evaluated the sensitivity of OGM in 14 individuals with well-characterized clinical features of FSHD by comparing OGM results obtained at our laboratory to standard Southern blotting performed at Leiden University Medical Center in the Netherlands (eTable 2, links.lww.com/NXG/A653, eFigure, links.lww.com/NXG/A651). Twelve of the 14 individuals had D4Z4 repeat contraction of the 4qA allele, whereas 2 individuals had no contraction of D4Z4 according to both OGM and Southern blot analyses. Two of the positive cases (772 and 830) had a mosaic 4qA allele according to OGM, which was comparable with the Southern blot results. This confirms the ability of OGM to detect mosaic alleles. In addition, the repeat size and haplotype highly correlated with Southern blot analysis [p < 0.001]; however, a repeat size difference of 1 unit was observed between OGM and Southern blot analysis due to the differences in the calculation methods used to determine the size of the repeats.

To further validate OGM in identifying D4Z4 repeats in healthy participants, we tested whole blood samples from normal human volunteers by OGM at Revvity Omics, Pittsburgh, and another site (Bionano Genomics, San Diego, CA). These samples were predicted to show no contraction in D4Z4 repeats on a 4qA allele. As expected, none of the 6 normal human volunteers had contracted D4Z4 repeats (i.e., all had >10 RU, eTable 3, links.lww.com/NXG/A654). Five of the volunteers had identical haplotype and repeat unit measurements at the 2 laboratories. Volunteer 4 had an allele with 69 D4Z4 RU and 4qA haplotype but was measured as >20 units with an unknown haplotype at Bionano Genomics. This discrepancy is likely because at Revvity Omics, Pittsburgh, we produced a longer molecule reaching the end of D4Z4 including the haplotype, whereas the same molecule at Bionano Genomics could not reach up to the distal region containing the haplotype and were represented as >20 repeats and unknown haplotype (eTable 3, links.lww.com/NXG/A654).

OGM Analysis of Patients Suspected of FSHD
After thorough validation of OGM, we performed FSHD testing using OGM, followed by sequencing of the SMCHD1 gene for the diagnosis of FSHD2. OGM can identify both the D4Z4 allele size as well as A or B haplotype (shown in...
OGM was performed for 547 patients suspected of FSHD, including 301 male (55%) and 246 female patients (45%), between December 2019 and December 2022. Of the 547 referred patients, 308 were positive for a D4Z4 contraction on a 4qA allele, resulting in a diagnosis of FSHD1, and 9 cases were positive for FSHD2 (Table 1). The overall

Figure 1A). OGM was performed for 547 patients suspected of FSHD, including 301 male (55%) and 246 female patients (45%), between December 2019 and December 2022. Of the 547 referred patients, 308 were positive for a D4Z4 contraction on a 4qA allele, resulting in a diagnosis of FSHD1, and 9 cases were positive for FSHD2 (Table 1). The overall
diagnostic yield in our cohort, including both FSHD1 and FSHD2, was 58%. Among the 308 cases positive for FSHD1, mosaic alleles with at least 1 contracted 4qA allele were observed in 9 cases (3%, Figure 1B), biallelic contraction of 4qA were observed in 2 cases (0.6%, 1 case with A1/A10 and 1 with A6/A9, Figure 1C), and 4 patients had an apparently homozygous contraction (A5/A5, A8/A8, and 2 patients with A7/A7). In cases with an apparently homozygous contraction, a possible distal deletion within the repeats at 4qter could not be completely ruled out. Upon manual observation of the molecules, we were able to identify a proximal duplication in cis with D4Z4 repeat contraction on 4qA in 3 cases (example in Figure 1D). In our cohort, among 317 cases positive for FSHD, 117 (37%) have contraction on 10qA, and among 230 cases negative for FSHD, 78 (34%) have contraction on 10qA (regardless of 4q haplotype) and 54 (23.5%) have at least 1 normal 4qA allele and contraction on 10qA.

The allele frequency of 4qA and 4qB in cases negative for FSHD cases are equally distributed (4qA = 49.1% and 4qB = 50.9%), similar to the frequency observed in the general population. However, in our cases positive for FSHD, the frequency is skewed with A being 76.9% and B being 23.1%. Figure 2 shows the distribution of 4qA and 4qB D4Z4 repeats, as well as 10qA and 10qB. In cases with FSHD2, the 4qA repeats ranged from 8 to 18 RU (1 case had contraction to 8 as well as pathogenic variant in SMCHD1 with age at onset in teens) with a median 13 RU, comparable with previous reports of OGM data. In cases negative for FSHD, the overall median including both short and long alleles on 4qA was 32 RU (range 11–90 RU), whereas the median of the shortest 4qA allele was 29 RU (range 11–77). Regarding chromosome 10, the median shortest 10qA allele size in FSHD1-positive cases was 14 RU (range 1–55 RU), and the median shortest 10qA allele size in FSHD1-negative cases was 14, range (1–71). There was no significant difference in shortest 10qA allele size between FSHD-negative and FSHD-positive cases. For all 4qB alleles, the median was 23 RU and range was 5–84 RU. The median allele size of all 10qB was 23 RU (range 6–71 RU). The frequency of 10qA and 10qB observed in our cohort of cases suspected of FSHD was 94.2% and 5.8%, respectively.

295/547 cases were referred for only FSHD1 (OGM); therefore, no SMCHD1 sequencing was performed. However, 252/547 cases were referred for testing for both FSHD1 (OGM) and FSHD2 (NGS analysis of SMCHD1); in these cases, the FSHD2 testing was performed concurrently regardless of the FSHD1 result. This resulted in the identification of 130 (of 252) cases positive for FSHD1 and 9 patients positive for FSHD2. All cases with FSHD2 showed intermediate repeats of 8–18 RU on the 4qA haplotype. The overall frequency of cases with FSHD2 among patients screened for both FSHD1 and 2 was 3.6%. Pathogenic or likely pathogenic SMCHD1 variants are reported in Table 2. No copy number variants were observed in the SMCHD1 gene by NGS-based deletion/duplication analysis. In addition, 3 patients had a variant of unknown significance in SMCHD1 in combination with 4qA (<20 RU). Of 295 cases referred for FSHD1 testing only, 178 were positive for FSHD1; therefore, no follow-up FSHD2 testing was required. Of the 117/295 cases negative for FSHD1, 88 carried at least 1 4qA allele with >10 repeats; 25 of these cases had between 11 and 20 repeats in 4qA. In these cases, the possibility of FSHD2 could not be completely ruled out because these patients were referred only for FSHD1 testing, and therefore, SMCHD1 gene sequencing was not performed.

### Discussion

FSHD is one of the genetic disorders associated with repetitive regions caused by contraction of the macrosatellite region (D4Z4) on chromosome 4, and the macrosatellite

**Table 1 Result Summary of Patients With FSHD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease Association</th>
<th>Haplotype</th>
<th>D4Z4 number of repeats</th>
<th>SMCHD1</th>
<th>547 Total patients tested (overall diagnostic yield = 58%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FSHD type 1</td>
<td>4qA (Permissive)</td>
<td>1–10</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>308 patients (56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSHD type 2</td>
<td>4qA (Permissive)</td>
<td>8–18</td>
<td>Pathogenic/LP variant</td>
<td>9 patients (2%, see Table 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSHD 1 and 2</td>
<td>4qA (Permissive)</td>
<td>1–10</td>
<td>Pathogenic variant</td>
<td>1 patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosaic FSHD1</td>
<td>4qA (Permissive)</td>
<td>1–10</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>9 patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis duplication of region proximal to D4Z4 repeats</td>
<td>4qA (Permissive)</td>
<td>1–10</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3 patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biallelic contraction</td>
<td>4qA (Permissive)</td>
<td>1–10</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2 patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homozygous contraction</td>
<td>4qA (Permissive)</td>
<td>1–10</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>4 patients</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: FSHD = facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy. The case with FSHD 1 and 2 is included in both the FSHD1 category and the FSHD2 category. Mosaic FSHD1, proximal cis duplication, biallelic contraction, and homozygous contraction are included in the FSHD1 category.
Because this disease is complex with repeat contraction, rearrangements within the repeat sequences, translocation between 4qA and 10qA repeats, duplication of repeat sequences, and variants in chromatin modifier genes (e.g., SMCHD1, DNMT3B, and LRIF1), diagnosis is difficult when using a single technique. Despite significant improvements in NGS technology over the past decade, some limitations exist in terms of the sensitivity of poorly covered and uncovered regions. In particular, repetitive DNA sequences such as the D4Z4 repeat pose major obstacles to accurate analysis by creating uncertainty in the processes of aligning and assembling NGS data. Though long read sequencing can address these limitations, clinical adoption of long read is cost prohibitive for clinical laboratories at this time. Southern blot is widely used as the gold standard for identifying D4Z4 repetitive regions and haplotypes. Molecular combing and, more recently, nCATS have been developed for FSHD diagnosis by the identification of repeat contraction. In addition, OGM has been validated in the diagnosis of FSHD.

The definitive diagnosis of FSHD is important for effective disease management in patients and for appropriate genetic counseling. In general, the Southern blot technique has been

Table 2 Variants Detected in the SMCHD1 Gene in Patients Positive for FSHD2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variant</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Variant type</th>
<th>ACMG classification</th>
<th>4q35 allele 1</th>
<th>4q35 allele 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMCHD1 c.2071_2075del</td>
<td>Exon 16</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
<td>Pathogenic</td>
<td>A13</td>
<td>A19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCHD1 c.3276+4_3276+7del</td>
<td>Intron 25</td>
<td>5’ splice site</td>
<td>Pathogenic</td>
<td>A13</td>
<td>B24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCHD1 c.4566G&gt;A (p.Thr1522=)</td>
<td>Exon 36</td>
<td>5’ splice site</td>
<td>Pathogenic</td>
<td>A15</td>
<td>A29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCHD1 c.1186C&gt;T (p.Gln396Ter)</td>
<td>Exon 10</td>
<td>Nonsense</td>
<td>Pathogenic</td>
<td>A23*</td>
<td>A42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCHD1 c.2176_2179del</td>
<td>Exon 17</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
<td>Likely Pathogenic</td>
<td>A14</td>
<td>B66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCHD1 c.35.45dup</td>
<td>Exon 1</td>
<td>Duplication</td>
<td>Likely Pathogenic</td>
<td>A18</td>
<td>B22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCHD1 c.3938C&gt;G (p.Ser1313Ter)</td>
<td>Exon 31</td>
<td>Nonsense</td>
<td>Likely Pathogenic</td>
<td>A8</td>
<td>A55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCHD1 c.5286dup</td>
<td>Exon 42</td>
<td>Duplication</td>
<td>Likely Pathogenic</td>
<td>A11</td>
<td>A19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCHD1 c.5720-2A&gt;C</td>
<td>Intron 45</td>
<td>3’ splice site</td>
<td>Likely Pathogenic</td>
<td>A12</td>
<td>A18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Patient is asymptomatic, family studies showed 4qA/11 in combination with variant is associated with FSHD2 (see Figure 4).
replaced by alternate techniques for routine molecular diagnostics because it is technically challenging and requires high technical expertise. Because most cases with FSHD (95%) are due to contraction of the 4q permissive allele to <10 D4Z4 RU, OGM can identify the haplotype corresponding to the D4Z4 repeats on both copies of chromosome 4 as well as chromosome 10. Identification of the haplotype and repeat size is also important in FSHD2 because an intermediate-sized (8–20 RU) 4qA allele along with a pathogenic variant in the SMCHD1 gene causes most cases with FSHD2.

In this study, we used OGM in combination with SMCHD1 gene sequencing for the diagnosis of FSHD1 and FSHD2. The validation studies demonstrate 100% analytical accuracy and precision of this assay using FSHD-positive Coriell cell lines, with an accuracy of ± 1 repeat. Normal male and female control samples revealed that the D4Z4 repeats were within normal range (15–69 D4Z4 repeats of either the 4qA or 4qB haplotype). In 14 clinically diagnosed cases with FSHD, 12 cases were positive for repeat contractions (ranging from 2 to 8 repeats) and were reproducible across intrasite, intersite, interinstrument, and intermethod comparisons. Two of the positive cases also had a mosaic pattern of the contracted allele. Chromosome 10q and the normal 4qB allele were also deemed highly reproducible across different runs at 2 sites.

OGM combined with NGS sequencing of the SMCHD1 gene would be able to diagnose most cases of FSHD though this does not overrule clinical diagnosis indicating the possibility of yet undiscovered loci. In our cohort, we observed an overall diagnostic yield of 58%, including FSHD1 (56%) and FSHD2 (the frequency of FSHD2 is 3.6% among 252 patients screened for both FSHD1 and FSHD2). The median number of D4Z4 repeats in our cohort of cases with FSHD1 was 5, and the most frequent repeat number was 5 (22%), followed by 4 (17%), whereas the previously published Southern blot and OGM data reported a median of 6, 7, and 5, respectively24,30 (Figure 3). In cases with FSHD2, 4qA repeats ranged from 8 to 18 and median 13 RU, comparable with previous reports of OGM data.24 Among cases with FSHD1 with contraction of the D4Z4 allele, we identified somatic mosaic cases, biallelic contraction, and homozygous contraction. However, FSHD testing is challenging due to the presence of common translocations between the 4q and 10q arrays, duplication of D4Z4 alleles, and somatic mosaicism and other rearrangements.

Due to high homology (approximately 98%) between the 4q and 10q regions, complex rearrangements between these regions lead to a hybrid haplotype consisting of 4qA and 10q-like repeats. These hybrid alleles, specifically the presence of 4qA D4Z4 repeat at the distal end of the 10qA repeats on chromosome 10, can cause FSHD.31 Hybrid alleles are detected in 0.5% and 14% of the European and Asian control populations, respectively. In this population of cases suspected of FSHD and in which FSHD1 is negative, then the 10qA allele repeat size may be carefully evaluated.32 OGM gives information on the contraction of D4Z4 repeats on 4qA and 10qA, but it cannot differentiate 4qA repeats within 10qA (hybrid pattern). One study recently identified D4Z4 repeats of 4qA within 10q repeats in approximately 6.7% of cases in which contraction of 10qA was observed by OGM.24 No significant difference for contracted 10qA was observed in our cohort between the FSHD-positive group and the FSHD-negative group. Patients with a clinical indication of FSHD with contraction of 10qA repeats (<10 RU) observed by OGM require further testing by Southern blot analysis, which can detect hybrid 4qA/10qA alleles using different restriction enzymes.

NGS sequencing of SMCHD1 could identify both single-nucleotide variants and CNVs (deletions/duplications). Among 252 cases that were screened, 9 were positive for

---

**Figure 3** 4qA Contracted Allele Size Distribution Among 308 Patients Positive for FSHD1

![4qA Contracted Allele Size Distribution Among 308 Patients Positive for FSHD1](image)
either “likely pathogenic” or “pathogenic” variants in combination with an intermediate repeat size on the 4qA haplotype. D4Z4 methylation analysis could provide further evidence for pathogenesis.33 No deletions within the SMCHD1 gene were identified in our cohort. We observed repeat contraction to 8 RU on 4qA and a pathogenic variant in SMCHD1 in a patient with severe clinical features. Contraction of D4Z4 on 4qA with a pathogenic variant in SMCHD1 has been reported with an earlier disease onset and more rapid progression.34 Individuals with an SMCHD1 variant alone may not develop FSHD2 because the pathogenic variant may not segregate with the 4qA allele. In a family with 2 generations, the father (I:1) and his 3 brothers were affected with FSHD with early age at onset in their early teens. The individual (I:1) had 2 4qA alleles with 11 and 42 D4Z4 RU, in combination with a heterozygous nonsense variant, SMCHD1.c.1186>T. We performed OGM and sequenced SMCHD1 on a 24-year-old index case (II:3) with a family history of FSHD who was healthy with no clinical symptoms of FSHD during testing. OGM identified 23 and 42 RU on 2 4qA alleles and a heterozygous variant, SMCHD1 c.1186>T, as observed in his father and 2 sisters who are affected with FSHD. The father (I:1) and sisters (II:1 and II:2) of the index case have a 4qA allele with 11 RU in combination with the SMCHD1 pathogenic variant, causing FSHD2. However, although individual (II:3) has the familial pathogenic variant in SMCHD1, this individual received 23 RU from his mother and 42 RU from his father, and the SMCHD1 variant did not cosegregate with 23 repeats; thus, no phenotype developed. Follow-up studies are required to monitor for late age at onset or slow progression of FSHD. This confirms that the combination of 11 RU and SMCHD1 variant caused FSHD2 in this family (Figure 4).

We also identified duplication immediately proximal to the D4Z4 repeats in 3 cases (example shown in Figure 1D). The software masked the duplication allele in the FSHD output; however, upon manual inspection, we identified the duplication and repeat size corresponding to the haplotype. In addition, we also identified a small inversion immediately proximal to the D4Z4 region in 1 case that was not initially detected in the FSHD output by the software (data not shown). For these reasons, we manually inspect molecules where a single chromosome 4 allele is detected by the FSHD output to ensure there are no rearrangements that may be masking a contracted allele. Improvements in the bioinformatic tools will help in cases of duplication/inversion immediately proximal to the D4Z4 repeat region. Apart from the identification of repeat sequences at the telomere region of chromosomes 4 and 10, using OGM de novo analysis, we can identify large deletions of the 18p region. Individuals with 18p microdeletions or loss of short arm of chromosome 18 (18p syndrome) including the SMCHD1 gene in combination with permissive 4qA may also show clinical features of FSHD along with other clinical features unrelated to FSHD.33,35 In addition, OGM can identify genome-wide CNVs (deletions/duplications), insertions, and other rearrangements that may be related to the phenotype when FSHD diagnosis is negative.

Based on our experience with OGM, we developed a testing algorithm (Figure 5) for the diagnosis of FSHD1 and 2. Additional testing is suggested in cases in which the clinician’s expectation is that the patient has true FSHD, and no contraction of 4qA or SMCHD1 variant is observed. If one of the alleles is 4qA with RU between 10 and 20, and rarely >20, then this may cause FSHD2; therefore, methylation analysis is recommended to identify hypomethylation of the D4Z4 that might be caused by other epigenetic modifier genes that cause FSHD2. If there is a contraction on 10qA with clinical indication of FSHD and normal repeats observed in chromosome 4, Southern blot (i.e., pulsed-field gel electrophoresis) is recommended.

**Figure 4 Segregation Analysis of a Nonsense Variant in the SMCHD1 Gene**
Routine use of conventional Southern blot technique may not identify the repeat contraction and corresponding haplotype together and may not identify mosaic and complex rearrangements compared with OGM or PFGE. Many laboratories do not have technical expertise in processing and analysis of PFGE Southern blot. OGM requires less training to process the sample and requires lesser amounts of DNA compared with Southern blot analysis. OGM has advantages of identifying repeat sizing on 4q and 10q together with haplotyping in a single run and can identify mosaicism. Recent advances in understanding FSHD disease mechanisms have helped in developing targeted treatment for FSHD. New cost-effective and faster turnaround technologies such as OGM help in early diagnosis that improve early intervention leading to better clinical outcomes. The results of our study demonstrate 100% reproducibility and precision of the samples used for the LDT evaluation of Bionano’s Saphyr® genome imaging platform as a high-resolution, high-throughput, and cost-effective method for the diagnosis of FSHD.
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