Dyslexia susceptibility genes influence brain atrophy in frontotemporal dementia

Objective: In this study, we evaluated whether variations within genes specifically associated with dyslexia, namely KIAA0319, DCDC2, and CNTNAP2, were associated with greater damage of language-related regions in patients with frontotemporal dementia (FTD) and primary progressive aphasia (PPA) in particular.

Methods: A total of 118 patients with FTD, 84 with the behavioral variant of FTD (bvFTD) and 34 with PPA, underwent neuropsychological examination, genetic analyses, and brain MRI. KIAA0319 rs17243157 G/A, DCDC2 rs793842 A/G, and CNTNAP2 rs17236239 A/G genetic variations were assessed. Patients were grouped according to clinical phenotype and genotype status (GA/AA or GG). Gray matter (GM) and white matter (WM) differences were assessed by voxel-based morphometry and structural intercorrelation pattern analyses.

Results: Patients carrying KIAA0319 A* (GA or AA) showed greater GM and WM atrophy in the left middle and inferior temporal gyri, as compared with KIAA0319 GG (p < 0.001). The effect of KIAA0319 polymorphism was mainly reported in patients with PPA. In patients with PPA carrying at-risk polymorphism, temporal damage led to loss of interhemispheric and intrahemispheric GM and WM structural association. No effect of DCDC2 and CNTNAP2 was found.

Conclusions: Genes involved in dyslexia susceptibility, such as KIAA0319, result in language network vulnerability in FTD, and in PPA in particular. Neurol Genet 2015;1:e24; doi: 10.1212/NXG.0000000000000024

GLOSSARY

bvFTD = behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia; DHPLC = denaturing high performance liquid chromatography; DSM-IV = Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition; FOV = field of view; FTD = frontotemporal dementia; FTD-modified CDR = Frontotemporal Dementia-modified Clinical Dementia Rating scale; GM = gray matter; lvPPA = logopenic variant of PPA; MNI = Montreal Neurological Institute; MPRAGE = 3D magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo; PPA = primary progressive aphasia; ROI = region of interest; TE = echo time; TR = repetition time; VBM = voxel-based morphometry; WM = white matter.

Frontotemporal lobar degeneration is a heterogeneous neurodegenerative entity encompassing different clinical syndromes in which language impairment is a key symptom. The evidence from genotype–phenotype correlation studies showing the identical molecular deficit determining different phenotypes promoted the hypothesis that specific susceptibility factors interacting with the underlying neurodegenerative process may drive the disease toward different clinical variants. Thus, the phenotypic variability in frontotemporal dementia (FTD) and the selective involvement of specific networks, i.e., the language network, seem to reflect the interaction between individual susceptibility factors and the underlying neurodegenerative process.

Similarly, previous studies have reported an increased frequency of learning and language disabilities in patients with primary progressive aphasias (PPAs) and in their first-degree relatives, claiming that PPA may represent a late-onset presentation of an antecedent selective vulnerability
of language network. \textsuperscript{6,7} This hypothesis was further corroborated by the identification of language-related genes (i.e., \textit{FOXP2} gene).\textsuperscript{8-10}

While the \textit{FOXP2} gene has been linked to the acquisition of spoken language, other candidate genes were identified as specifically associated with dyslexia, namely \textit{KIAA0319}, \textit{DCDC2}, and \textit{CNTNAP2}.\textsuperscript{11-13} Their genetic polymorphisms have been demonstrated to affect brain volume and activation of language-related brain areas and to drive hemispheric asymmetry.\textsuperscript{14-16}

It might be hypothesized that these genes modulated regional brain atrophy in FTD, magnifying brain damage in the language-related regions. The present study aimed to test whether variations within dyslexia-related genes were associated with greater vulnerability of language networks in patients with FTD, with particular focus on PPAs.

**METHODS Setting and participants.** Patients fulfilling criteria for FTD\textsuperscript{1,17} were consecutively recruited from the Centre for Aging Brain and Neurodegenerative Disorders, University of Brescia, Italy, from December 2001 to July 2014. All patients underwent somatic and neuropsychologic evaluation, routine laboratory examination, and a complete mental status evaluation. Each patient was screened for monogenic inherited disease, such as \textit{GRN}, \textit{MAPT}, or \textit{C9orf72} mutations.

Stringent exclusion criteria were applied as follows: (1) cerebrovascular disorders, previous stroke, hydrocephalus, and intracranial mass documented by MRI; (2) history of traumatic brain injury or another neurologic disease; (3) relevant medical problems (e.g., poorly controlled diabetes or hypertension; cancer within the past 5 years; clinically important hepatic, renal, cardiac, or pulmonary disorders); (4) history of major depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, substance abuse disorder, or mental retardation according to \textit{DSM-IV} criteria; (5) CSF \textit{β}-amyloid/tau amyloid profile of Alzheimer disease type (available in almost 60% of patients) to avoid confounding effects of different neuropathologic substrates; and (6) logopenic variant of PPA (lPPA, not shown).

A comprehensive neuropsychological and behavioral assessment, including Basic Activities of Daily Living and Instrumental Activities of Daily Living, was carried out.\textsuperscript{18,19} The neuropsychological testing was performed by a standardized neuropsychological battery including Mini-Mental State Examination, Frontotemporal Dementia-modified Clinical Dementia Rating scale (FTD-modified CDR), Raven Colored Progressive Matrices, Controlled Oral Word Association Test and Category Fluency, Clock Drawing Test, Rey Complex Figure Copy and Recall, Story Recall Test, Digit Span, Trail Making Test A and B, Token Test, and De Renzi Imitation Test.\textsuperscript{20-22} In addition, the Aachener Aphasia Test was further administered to patients with PPA (data not shown).

The lPPA diagnosis was supported by the pattern of atrophy in posterior/presylvian or parietal regions at the MRI examination; \textit{β}-amyloid 42 and total tau CSF determinations were also considered. Behavioral disturbances were evaluated by using the Frontal Behavioral Inventory and Neuropsychiatry Inventory.\textsuperscript{23,24} Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents. Informed consent was obtained for blood collection and genetic analyses from each patient. The work conformed to the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the local Ethics Committee of Brescia Hospital, Italy.

**Genetic analyses.** Total genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood according to standard procedures. Three single nucleotide polymorphisms located within \textit{KIAA0319}/\textit{TRAPPANTEM2} locus (rs17243157 G/A), \textit{DCDC2} (rs793842 A/G), and \textit{CNTNAP2} (rs1726329 A/G) genes were evaluated. Primers for each polymorphism are reported in table e-1 at Neurology.org/ng.

The amplification protocols were designed as follows: 5 minutes at 95°C for the first cycle, denaturation at 95°C for 30 seconds, annealing ranging from 59°C to 66°C for 30 seconds (depending on the analyzed polymorphism), extension at 72°C for 30 seconds for the subsequent 35 cycles, and a final extension at 72°C for 5 minutes. The PCR products were analyzed on 2% agarose with 0.005% of ethidium bromide to reveal the reaction and to verify their size.

To evaluate rs17243157 and rs793842 polymorphisms, denaturing high performance liquid chromatography (DHPLC) analysis on the WAVE nucleic acid fragment analysis system was performed (Transgenic, Santa Clara, CA). Samples with an altered DHPLC profile were purified with Microcon Centrifugal filter devices (Amicon Bioseparations; Millipore Corp, Billerica, MA) and sequenced. Nucleotide direct sequencing was performed on genomic DNA for both strands by the ABI 3500xl DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and analyzed using Chromas (Technelysium Pty Ltd, South Brisbane, Australia).

To assess rs1726239, direct sequencing was performed for both strands from purified PCR on the ABI 3500 DNA genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems), according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and analyzed using Chromas (Technelysium Pty Ltd). Genotype analysis was performed blinded to clinical diagnoses.

**MRI data acquisition and preprocessing.** In the present study, brain images were collected using 2 different MR scanners: (1) 1.5T MR scanner (Siemens Symphony, Erlangen, Germany), equipped with a circularly polarized transmit–receive coil to acquire 3D magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo (MPRAGE) T1-weighted scan (repetition time [TR] = 2,010 milliseconds, echo time [TE] = 3,93 milliseconds, matrix = 1 \times 1 \times 1 \text{ mm}^3, flip angle = 15°); and (2) 1.5T MR scanner (Siemens Avanto) to acquire 3D MPRAGE T1-weighted scan (TR = 2,050 milliseconds, TE = 2.56 milliseconds, matrix = 1 \times 1 \times 1 \text{ mm}^3, slice thickness = 1 \text{ mm}, flip angle = 15°).

Preprocessing and statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM8) software package (Wellcome Department of Imaging Neuroscience, London, England, http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm) running on MATLAB 7.1 (MathWorks, Natick, MA). For voxel-based morphometry (VBM) analysis, the “Segment” module, as previously described, was used.\textsuperscript{25} Images were spatially normalized to a reference stereotactic template (Montreal Neurological Institute [MNI]) and smoothed by a gaussian kernel of 10 \times 10 \times 10 \text{ mm} full width at half maximum.

**MRI data analyses.** Gray matter (GM) and white matter (WM) differences according to genotype status for each polymorphism were evaluated by VBM analyses in all FTD groups (behavioral variant of FTD [bvFTD] and PPAs together) and in PPAs, respectively. An analysis of covariance model design was applied by entering 4 groups, i.e., (1) bvFTD carrying at-risk genotype, (2) bvFTD not carrying at-risk genotype, (3) PPA carrying at-risk genotype, and (4) PPA not carrying at-risk genotype, and the covariates of no interest, i.e.,
age, sex, disease severity (as measured by FTD-modified CDR), presence of monogenic disease, and scanner type. Total intracranial volume, i.e., GM + WM + CSF, was introduced in all the statistical analyses as a global nuisance variable to avoid the confounding effect on cortical atrophy.

Correlation analysis between cognitive performances and regional GM and WM atrophy clusters was carried out. For this, the clusters of GM and WM atrophy obtained in the previous analysis (comparison between the at-risk group and not-at-risk group) were used as “seed” regions, exploring the pattern of covariance between the GM volume of each “seed” and the GM volume throughout the whole brain. For all the aforementioned analyses, the threshold was established at $p < 0.001$ (uncorrected for multiple comparisons) and a cluster size threshold was set at 200 voxels.

**Statistical analysis.** The data were analyzed using SPSS 16.0 software (http://www.spss.com). Patients were analyzed according to clinical phenotype (bvFTD or PPA) or genotype status for each evaluated polymorphism. Genotype distribution and allele frequencies were computed by the $\chi^2$ test. Sociodemographic and clinical data were assessed with the Mann–Whitney test and $\chi^2$ test, as appropriate. Spearman correlation analyses were carried out and Pearson correlation coefficients were computed.

**RESULTS Patients.** A total of 118 patients fulfilled inclusion/exclusion criteria and entered the present study. The demographic and clinical characteristics of these patients are shown in Table 1.

### Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with FTD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All FTD (n = 118)</th>
<th>bvFTD (n = 84)</th>
<th>PPA (n = 34)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at evaluation, y</td>
<td>65.1 ± 7.6</td>
<td>65.1 ± 6.9</td>
<td>64.9 ± 9.2</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, y</td>
<td>8 ± 3.6</td>
<td>8 ± 3.7</td>
<td>8 ± 3.4</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, M (%)</td>
<td>61 (51.7)</td>
<td>49 (58.3)</td>
<td>12 (35.3)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handedness, right (%)</td>
<td>114 (97)</td>
<td>80 (95)</td>
<td>34 (100)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRN mutation (%)</td>
<td>24 (20.3)</td>
<td>16 (19)</td>
<td>8 (23.5)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTD-modified CDR</td>
<td>5.3 ± 3.6</td>
<td>5.4 ± 3.6</td>
<td>4.9 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>15.6 ± 9.8</td>
<td>17.2 ± 10.6</td>
<td>11.9 ± 6.4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BADL</td>
<td>0.49 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.58 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IADL</td>
<td>1.50 ± 2.1</td>
<td>1.52 ± 2.1</td>
<td>1.46 ± 2.2</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BADL = Basic Activities of Daily Living; bvFTD = behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia; FBI = Frontal Behavioral Inventory; FTD = frontotemporal dementia; FTD-modified CDR = Frontotemporal Dementia-modified Clinical Dementia Rating scale; GRN = granulin; IADL = Instrumental Activities of Daily Living; PPA = primary progressive aphasia. Results are expressed as numbers or mean ± SD; percentage in parentheses.

Figure 1. Gray matter atrophy in patients with FTD according to KIAA0319 genotype

(A) Gray matter (GM) atrophy in patients with FTD carrying KIAA0319 A* vs KIAA0319 GG (A*<GG). (B) Mean scores obtained by plotting middle temporal gyrus values in the 4 considered groups. (C) Correlation analysis between the GM volume of the middle temporal gyrus and semantic fluency. The results are superimposed on a 2D-standardized T1 brain template. $p < 0.001$ uncorrected, clusters >200 voxels. Color bar: T values. bvFTD = behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia; FTD = frontotemporal dementia; PPA = primary progressive aphasia.
study, namely 84 patients with bvFTD and 34 with PPA (24 agrammatic variant PPA and 10 semantic variant PPA). Demographic and clinical characteristics are reported in table 1. No differences between bvFTD and PPA were found, with the exception of sex and Frontal Behavioral Inventory scores.

No difference of genotype distribution and allele frequency of \textit{KIAA0319} (rs17243157 G/A), \textit{DCDC2} (rs793842 A/G), and \textit{CNTNAP2} (rs17236239 A/G) polymorphisms between bvFTD and PPA was observed (table e-2).

MRI analyses in patients with FTD. We evaluated the effect of studied functional polymorphisms on GM damage, i.e., \textit{KIAA0319} (GG vs GA/AA), \textit{DCDC2} (GG vs GA/AA), and \textit{CNTNAP2} (GG vs GA/AA), by VBM. As reported in figure 1A and table e-3, patients carrying \textit{KIAA0319} A* (GA or AA) showed greater GM atrophy in the left inferior and middle temporal gyri as compared with \textit{KIAA0319} GG.

As shown in figure 1B, the main effect in the left temporal region was driven more by PPA than by bvFTD. Patients with PPA carrying \textit{KIAA0319} A* had the greatest damage in the left middle temporal gyrus compared with patients who had bvFTD carrying \textit{KIAA0319} A* and those carrying the GG genotype. The same results were obtained when the left inferior temporal gyrus was considered (data not shown).

GM damage of the aforementioned atrophic regions was related to the decreasing semantic fluency scores ($r = 0.37$, $p < 0.001$, figure 1C). No other association between GM damage and neuropsychological tests was found.

The analysis of WM damage in patients with FTD grouped according to \textit{KIAA0319} genome led to comparable results. \textit{KIAA0319} A* carriers showed greater WM involvement of the left middle temporal gyrus, as compared with \textit{KIAA0319} GG (figure 2A and table e-3). As for GM damage, this effect was mainly due to PPA \textit{KIAA0319} A* (figure 2B). WM atrophy of the left middle temporal gyrus was related to the decreasing semantic fluency ($r = 0.34$, $p < 0.001$) and short story ($r = 0.38$, $p < 0.001$) scores (figure 2C).

The inverse comparisons (greater GM/WM volume in GG<A*) did not show any clusters above the pre-established threshold. No differences of GM and WM atrophy in patients with FTD grouped according to \textit{DCDC2} (GG vs GA/AA) and \textit{CNTNAP2} (GG vs GA/AA) genotypes were found at the pre-established threshold.

MRI analyses in PPAs. As the effect of \textit{KIAA0319} polymorphism was mainly found in PPAs, further analyses were carried out in this group.

Patients with PPA carrying \textit{KIAA0319} A* had greater involvement of both GM and WM in the left middle temporal gyrus as compared with \textit{KIAA0319}}
GG (figure 3 and table e-3). The inverse comparisons did not yield results at the pre-established threshold.

Structural correlation analysis to assess interhemispheric and intrahemispheric connectivity according to KIAA0319 genotype was carried out. In patients with PPA carrying at-risk KIAA0319 A* genotype, decreased structural GM correlation between the left middle temporal gyrus (peak MNI coordinates x, y, z: -56, 2, -20) and other both interhemispheric and intrahemispheric brain regions was highlighted, as compared with patients with PPA carrying KIAA0319 GG. The same pattern was seen for WM density (figure 4 and table e-4).

DISCUSSION In the present study, we tested whether genetic variations in specific dyslexia-related genes were involved in modulating the clinical phenotypes in an extensively characterized cohort of patients with FTD.

Dyslexia is known to run in families and has been associated with a number of susceptibility genes.7 It might be hypothesized that in some cases, such genetic predisposition may interfere with initial development of language; in others, the effect may remain dormant for decades but resurface as PPA or as FTD with language disturbances in patients with an ongoing neurodegenerative disease.7 This is even supported by the evidence that different neuropathologic mechanisms can cause PPA, and on the other hand, that an identical neuropathologic entity may lead to PPA, bvFTD, or, in other cases, amnestic dementia. Thus, susceptibility factors interact with the neurodegenerative process to determine the anatomical location.

In our sample, the majority of patients with PPA were women, which suggests the presence of sex-related vulnerability, as already reported in previous studies in which a male predominance in the semantic subtype and female prevalence in the nonfluent subtype were observed.28,29 Moreover, GRN mutations were overrepresented in patients with language variants, which reflects a peculiar role of the GRN gene in language networks.30

To further explore genetic susceptibility to language impairment in FTD, functional genetic variations within 3 genes involved in language disabilities, in particular KIAA0319 rs17243157 G/A, DCDC2 rs793842 A/G, and CNTNAP2 rs17236239 A/G, were analyzed with respect to their effect on brain structures and the correlation with cognitive performances. It is noteworthy
that, of all 3 genes, only the \textit{KIAA0319 A*} (GA or AA) genotype was demonstrated to influence brain damage in language areas, therefore supporting the specificity of the results. No specific patterns of greater atrophy were identified as associated with \textit{DCDC2} and \textit{CNTNAP2} polymorphisms, although we cannot exclude the possibility that with a larger patient sample or different approaches, an effect of these genes could also be found in patients with FTD.

\textit{KIAA0319} functional polymorphism was shown to determine GM atrophy in the left inferior and middle temporal gyri and WM involvement in the middle temporal gyrus and to be associated with the semantic fluency test and the short story scores. Of note, these results were stronger in patients with PPA than in those with bvFTD. Furthermore, in patients with PPA carrying the at-risk \textit{KIAA0319} polymorphism, damage of the left temporal lobe showed an interhemispheric and intrahemispheric breakdown with the rest of the brain.

The areas found to be affected by \textit{KIAA0319} genetic variation are known to be one of the hubs of phonological, articulatory planning, and syntactic processes and are most notably affected in patients with dyslexia.\textsuperscript{31} Accordingly, several independent studies reported that \textit{KIAA0319} allelic variants were associated with different reading and spelling abilities,\textsuperscript{13,32} being able to reduce \textit{KIAA0319} gene expression, and affecting neuronal migration in the developing neocortex.\textsuperscript{14} In healthy controls, \textit{KIAA0319} polymorphism was shown to influence asymmetric functional activation of superior temporal sulcus, suggesting its role in modulation and lateralization of phonemic process.\textsuperscript{15}

Our results further contribute to understanding of the effect of \textit{KIAA0319} on language networks in patients with FTD and have major relevance for those who present prominent language deficits at disease onset. A major limitation of the study is the lack of neuropathologic confirmation. Indeed, the results we reported are not necessarily about PPA caused by a specific neuropathologic substrate, and further studies could explore whether the dyslexia genes may also influence brain atrophy in other neurodegenerative conditions, including lvPPA and Alzheimer dementia. Unfortunately, we have no reliable information on learning disabilities in these patients,\textsuperscript{33} in part because the diagnosis of learning disabilities is relatively recently recognized.

\textbf{Figure 4} Gray and white matter structural connectivity analyses in patients with PPA according to \textit{KIAA0319} genotype

Pattern of gray matter (A) and white matter (C) structural correlation of the middle temporal gyrus with other regions of the brain in primary progressive aphasia (PPA) \textit{KIAA0319 A*} vs PPA \textit{KIAA0319 GG}. Linear correlation of decreased gray matter (B) and white matter (D) structural association between brain regions in PPA \textit{KIAA0319 A*} (dashed lines) vs PPA \textit{KIAA0319 GG} (continuous lines). The results are superimposed on a 3D-standardized T1 brain template. \(p < 0.001\) uncorrected, voxel threshold \(= 100\). Color bar: T values.
These findings shed light on genetic predisposition in defining how a disease process becomes distributed asymmetrically and how it targets language networks. Indeed, the identification of a possible genetic risk factor for language deficits in FTD represents a possible molecular target for the development of disease biomarkers or future therapeutic strategies.
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